Advanced Reasonable Accommodations

Undue Financial and Administrative Burdens and Fundamental Alterations to the Program

Reasonable Accommodation Requests: Determining Undue Financial and Administrative Burden and Fundamental Alterations to the Program

Note: There is no clear-cut test to determine what constitutes an undue burden or a fundamental change in your program, but the following provides a framework. Consult your 504/ADA coordinator or your lawyer if you have any doubts.

Note: all properties should be in compliance, as applicable, with Section 504, ADA Title II and III, the Architectural Barriers Act, the Fair Housing Amendments Act and state laws (Chapter 151B and the Massachusetts Architectural Access Board Code). Individual accommodations cover situations beyond general compliance.

Some Things to Keep in Mind:

• In general, a change in a rule, policy or procedure is unlikely to cause an undue financial and administrative burden because most such changes are not cost related. Such changes may, however, pose a fundamental change in the housing program because the rules, policies and procedures are designed to carry out the purpose of the housing program or to comply with the general tenancy laws.

• A change to the physical structure of the unit, the common area, or method of communication does not generally fundamentally alter the nature of your program because the goal is to provide quality affordable housing to low and moderate income persons, regardless of disability. Such changes may, however, pose an undue financial and administrative burden.

• You need not make structural changes to the common areas if there is an equally effective administrative solution, provided your program as a whole is accessible in accordance with your property’s Transition Plan. Also you need not make structural changes to a unit if your property has the requisite number of accessible units and an administrative solution exists.

• If providing an accommodation would result in an undue financial and administrative burden or fundamental change to the program, you are still required to take any action up to that point. You must also explore other options for meeting the applicant/tenant’s needs. Often the ADA Hotline (1-800-949-4232), the Massachusetts Assistive Technology Partnership Center (617-355-7820) or local independent living centers can provide technical assistance on workable solutions.

• In deciding what accommodation will meet an applicant or tenant’s needs, you must give primary consideration to what the person with the disability requests. However, you may provide an alternative accommodation if it is equally effective at removing the barrier to equal access or participation.
You might not be able to provide the same accommodation to the second person as you did to the first person. Each request for an accommodation must be considered on a case-by-case basis because each person's needs are different and the development's financial situation changes over time.

Housing providers are not required to provide personal devices (i.e. eyeglasses, walkers, canes) but sometimes personal equipment is a less expensive way than physical modification to solve a problem. For example, it may be equally effective, but less expensive to purchase an emergency call device to be worn around the person's neck than to install emergency cords in every room in the unit. Such personal devices would be owned by the housing provider and lent to residents who need emergency cords as a substitute for installing emergency cords.

Factors to Consider in Determining an Undue Financial and Administrative Burden on the Housing Program
To determine if a requested accommodation poses an undue financial and administrative burden, you must know the expected cost and your available financial and personnel resources at the time of the request. You must consider all the relevant factors when assessing undue financial and administrative burdens. Housing authorities and assisted housing providers have different factors that must be considered. The following is based on the HUD Occupancy Task Force Report's chapter on Undue Financial and Administrative Burden, but includes changes to housing finance since the report was written.

Public Housing Authorities (PHAs)
As of this writing, each PHA development has its own budget but the operating budget for each property is folded into a comprehensive document that covers the entire PHA. Subsidy is determined based on PHA-wide calculations and costs are allocated over many properties. The PHA's Action Plan must contain projected costs to cover alterations to units and facilities to address property-based compliance needs and individual reasonable accommodation requests.

Costs associated with individual requests for accommodations generally come out of the development budget unless the request involves major structural changes, such as widening doorways, a roll-in shower, or building a ramp. In such instances, the PHA's capital construction monies may be used if available. In that case, the PHA staff responsible for capital projects usually determines if the accommodation poses an undue financial and administrative burden following whatever consultation process the PHA has established. Most accommodation requests that do not involve major structural changes probably will not pose an undue financial and administrative burden to the development. However, even if a development is not able to afford a change, the PHA as a whole may be able to afford the change. If the site manager concludes that the site can't afford the change, he/she should contact the PHA's 504 Coordinator or follow whatever consultation process the PHA has established to determine whether other PHA resources are available. If the request is not a major structural change, the site manager must examine factors listed below.
Factors the PHA Site Manager Must Consider
The larger the cost, the more factors a PHA may need to consider.
1. The number of units
2. Total number of employees at the site
3. The site budget, including income, expenses and cash flow:
   Note: every public housing site budget should have a line item for reasonable
   accommodation, but a manager must also look at the other line items as possible resources.
4. Reserves (you may need to contact your housing authority asset manager to determine the
   availability of these funds)
5. Other funds both within the PHA, such as the Comprehensive Grant Program (Capital Fund
   Program) and the PHA’s Contingency Fund, and outside the PHA, such as government and
   private agencies.
6. Planned improvements or repairs essential to maintaining decent, safe, sanitary living
   conditions.

The difference between the cost of the accommodation and the amount available from all
sources after other demands are weighed (expenses in #3 and improvements/repairs in #6) shows
what the property can afford up to the point of undue burden. The property may be able to
afford some, but not all, of the costs. In this case, the site manager will have to see if funds from
the PHA as a whole can supplement funds available from the site.

Other Important Considerations
1. Have you obtained any necessary bids from entities familiar with providing physical access
   for people with disabilities?
2. Can cost reductions be achieved without compromising the effectiveness of the
   accommodations?
3. Have you sought technical assistance?

Factors for Requests that Involve Major Construction at PHAs
1. Reserves: In the current fiscal year, will the PHA make a deposit to reserves, make a
   withdrawal to reserves or break even? If a surplus is projected, are there known demands
   against these funds? Will using reserves result in the PHA not being able to maintain at least
   25% of the required level or seriously impact the PHA’s efforts to increase reserve levels as
   required by HUD through PHMAP?
2. Is a budget revision required and possible?
3. Will the request pose any serious negative impact on the PHA’s financial stability in the
   current budget year?
4. Will the request require removing a load-bearing wall? (This is not required.)
5. Will the request cause a significant change to a critical element of the PHA’s long-range plan,
   including any necessary physical changes identified in the property’s 504/ADA Transition Plan
   (e.g. a proposed accommodation requires that lead-based paint removal be deferred, repair of
   damaged roofs be postponed, repair of replacement of life, health or safety systems be
   postponed). If so, can it be revised?
6. Will the request impair the ability of the PHA to complete planned improvements or repairs, including normal maintenance, that are essential to maintaining decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions?

7. Will there be a substantial increase in administrative workload? For example, in the current budget year does the accommodation prevent the PHA from:
   a. performing essential management duties expressed in the lease (e.g. reexaminations or required unit inspections);
   b. performing administrative or maintenance duties essential to the operation of the program (e.g. rent collection, routine or preventive maintenance)
   c. meeting the program operating requirements as expressed in the Annual Contributions Contract, other agreements, or the PHMAP performance indicators; or responding to a court order?

8. Will there be a negative impact on services provided by the PHA and mandated by the lease or other agreements? (Exclude services provided by third parties where such services are not under the direct control or funded by the PHA’s operating budget).

**Assisted Housing**

Assisted housing uses property-based financial management. Resources from properties under common ownership may not be mixed. The ownership entity is unique to each property, as are the income and expenses. Each property has a separate regulatory agreement and subsidy contract which may be “layered” (have more than one type of subsidy).

**Factors the Assisted Housing Property Manager Should Consider**

1. The number of units;
2. Total number of employees at the site;
3. The site budget, including income, expenses and cash flow;
4. Replacement reserves; (You may need to contact your asset manager to determine the availability of these funds). HUD Handbook 4350.3 indicates that burdens exist when residual receipts are insufficient to cover the cost of structural changes and replacement reserves cannot be replenished within one year. In those circumstances, the handbook states that “generally, an owner would not be required to make structural changes.” The key word here is “generally”: the handbook does not offer any absolutes with respect to declaring undue burdens. The Handbook implies that an undue burden is approached when a rent increase is required to make a structural change but doesn’t say that a rent increase is an undue burden. See 4350.3, p. 2-70 and 2-71. NOTE: If replacement reserves repeatedly do not have enough money to pay for accommodations, it may be necessary to consider a rent increase or some sort of refinancing as a way to generate sufficient reserves to meet reasonable accommodation requests and other property needs)
5. Other funds from government and private agency sources;
6. Whether the request will cause any serious negative impact on the property’s financial stability in the current budget year, including the ability to meet FHA, HUD, other government, or private lender requirements to operate in sound financial condition as expressed in regulatory, management, subsidy or financing agreements;
7. Whether the request will require removing a load-bearing wall; (This is not required.)
8. Whether the request will cause a significant change to a critical element of the development’s Capital Needs Plan, including any necessary physical changes identified in the
property’s 504/ADA transition plan (e.g. a proposed accommodation requires that lead-based paint removal be deferred, repair of damaged roofs be postponed, repair of replacement of life, health or safety systems be postponed) and whether the plan can be revised;

9. Whether the ability of the development to complete planned improvements or repairs, including normal maintenance, that are essential to maintaining decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions will be impaired;

10. Whether there will be a substantial increase in administrative workload - for example, if, in the current budget year, the accommodation prevents the development from:
   a. Performing essential management duties expressed in the lease (e.g. reexaminations or required unit inspections);
   b. Performing administrative or maintenance duties essential to the operation of the program (e.g. rent collection, routine or preventive maintenance)
   c. Meeting the program operating requirements as expressed in the Annual Contributions Contract, other agreements, or the PHMAP performance indicators; or responding to a court order?

11. Whether there will be a negative impact on services provided by the development and mandated by the lease or other agreements; (Exclude services provided by third parties where such services are not under the direct control or funded by the development’s operating budget).

12. The difference between the cost of the accommodation and the amount available from all sources after other demands are weighed (expenses in #3 and improvements/repairs in #6) shows what the property can afford up to the point of undue burden. The property may be able to afford some, but not all, of the costs. In this case, the manager must discuss with the applicant or tenant the best use of the available money as described in “Next Steps” section below.

**Other Important Considerations**

1. Have you obtained any necessary bids from entities familiar with providing physical access for people with disabilities?

2. Can cost reductions be achieved without compromising the effectiveness of the accommodations?

3. Have you sought technical assistance?

   Note: Assisted housing providers and disability rights advocates disagree on whether providing an accommodation is an undue burden if it prevents the owner from receiving his/her distribution (a return on his/her investment). Many providers believe that this return should be calculated as a cost of doing business (a bill that must be paid) before money is available to be used for a modification. Many advocates argue that owners should receive a distribution if there is surplus cash after meeting all the property’s obligations including providing accommodations. HUD has not taken a position.
Next Steps If Undue Financial and Administrative Burden is Established

If, after considering all the factors above, a PHA or assisted housing provider concludes that providing an accommodation will pose an undue financial and administrative burden, the entity must still explore the following:

1. When can the accommodation be programmed into modernization or other planned renovations?

2. What work can be done up to the point of undue financial and/or administrative burden? When can the rest of the work be done? Does it make sense to set aside the currently available money and add to it in the next budget cycle to fully fund the change?

3. Can the resident or some other outside party make up the difference between what the housing provider can afford and the full cost?

4. Are there methods that would improve access even if full access were not achieved?

5. What is the applicant/resident’s preference among these alternatives?

Fundamental Alteration in the Nature of the Program for Both PHAs and Assisted Housing Providers

Determining whether a request poses a fundamental change in your housing program is not a cost based test. It requires you to identify the purpose of your housing program and how you achieve that purpose. PHAs and assisted housing providers’ purpose is to provide safe and sanitary housing for low and moderate income tenants who meet program eligibility requirements. The primary ways they achieve this purpose include applicant processing, lease enforcement, physical maintenance, some service provision, and administrative and financial procedures to ensure program stability and regulatory compliance. The tenant’s essential lease provisions include maintaining a safe and sanitary unit, not interfering with other tenants’ quiet enjoyment, not engaging in criminal activity, paying rent on time, and complying with other reasonable rules. Changes that would require fundamental changes in these or other essential elements of the program, such as lowering admission standards, waiving essential lease requirements or otherwise fundamentally changing the program would not be required. Likewise, most changes in the types of services the housing provides would be a fundamental change although changes in how the housing services are provided generally would not be a fundamental change.

For example, if a resident asks you to provide housekeeping services because she cannot clean or reach or open the trash chute because of her disability, you may refuse because providing housekeeping services would fundamentally change the type of services you provide. It would be reasonable to assist the resident in arranging housekeeping services. It is also necessary for you to figure out with the resident how she or someone can empty her trash because your trash removal system is a barrier for the resident. The resident must, however, comply with her lease with the accommodation.
What to Consider in Deciding if an Accommodation Requires a Fundamental Change in the Nature of the Program

In deciding whether an accommodation request would alter the fundamental nature of the program, you should consider whether the accommodation:

- requires the admission of an ineligible family or individual;
- lowers tenant selection standards;
- reduces the obligations of tenancy or the lease compliance standards, as expressed in the lease and in landlord/tenant law;
- requires significant services that are not part of your housing program; or
- requires any other significant change in the standard way your type of housing is provided.